# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FY 1997 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET REQUEST ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (Tabular dollars in thousands, Narrative in whole dollars)

#### **ENERGY RESEARCH ANALYSES**

#### **PROGRAM MISSION**

The mission of the Energy Research Analyses (ERA) program is to evaluate the quality and impact of Department of Energy research programs and projects.

The GOAL of the ERA program is to:

Provide Department of Energy program managers and senior managers with objective assessments of research projects and programs in order to evaluate the quality and impact of these efforts, to identify undesirable duplications and gaps, and to provide analysis of key technical issues in support of long range energy research planning, science and technology planning, and technical evaluation of departmental programs and objectives.

The OBJECTIVES related to these goals are:

- 1. To PROVIDE THE BASIS FOR JUDGMENTS ON THE QUALITY OF RESEARCH AND ITS IMPACT. Using merit review with peer evaluation, provide departmental program managers and their superiors with detailed information about the technical strengths and weaknesses of projects that comprise the R&D program as a basis for judgment of the quality of the research and its impact.
- 2. To PROVIDE INDEPENDENT VIEWS OF FUTURE R&D NEEDS IN AREAS OF INTEREST TO THE DEPARTMENT. Evaluate the status of science and technology areas of potential importance to the Department's mission, and to lay out appropriate fundamental and applied research and development to hasten the advance towards potential energy applications.
- 3. To DEVELOP STRATEGIC PLANS. Use advice from outside experts, advisory committees, departmental managers, national laboratory managers, industrial scientists and managers, and officials of other government agencies to formulate strategic plans for the Office of Energy Research and for the Science and Technology business line of the Department.

## PROGRAM MISSION - ENERGY RESEARCH ANALYSES (Cont'd)

4. To CONTRIBUTE TO DOE AND INTERAGENCY PROGRAM ANALYSIS AND PLANNING FOR GOVERNMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY. Participate in committees, task forces, working groups, and workshops of the Department of Energy and organizations such as the National Science and Technology Council, the National Science Foundation, the National Academy of Sciences, and private sector organizations such as the Industrial Research Institute, and the Electric Power Research Institute.

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURES:

- 1. Quality and value of peer review evaluations, as indicated by satisfaction of investigators and program managers and actions taken to improve or replace projects that have significant shortcomings, and to capitalize on the strengths of stronger projects.
- 2. Satisfaction by customer program managers with assessments of science and technology needs, as indicated by changes or additions to make DOE programs and projects more productive and relevant to DOE missions.
- 3. Quality and acceptance of strategic plans, as indicated by their use by the Director of the Office of Energy Research and by program offices in multi-year program planning, program budget planning, and in effectively justifying programs.
- 4. Influence on government science and technology planning and analysis, as indicated by contributions to DOE, interagency, and outside recommendations on science policies and plans.

#### SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PROGRAM SHIFTS:

- 1. Independent peer reviews have verified the quality and relevance of over 300 DOE projects and tasks. Assessment of research needs for Advanced Heterogeneous Catalysts for Energy Applications was completed. These levels of effort will be scaled down in FY 1997 to accommodate the reduced funding associated with the Department's realignment of staff and activities.
- 2. An improved and systematic process for appraisal of Energy Research Laboratories will be developed in FY 1996. Implementation by this program for the Office of Energy research will continue in FY 1997.
- 3. A new DOE-wide system for simplified technical reviews of National Laboratories has been developed with the participation of all affected parties, including the National Laboratories and DOE Operations Offices. The new system will be tested in a pilot process in FY 1996 at three National Laboratories and implemented across the Department in FY 1997.

# PROGRAM MISSION - ENERGY RESEARCH ANALYSES (Cont'd)

4. Developed the Strategic Plan for the Office of Energy Research. Provided science and technology sections of the National Energy Policy Plan (NEPP). Coordinated the formulation of ER technology status reports for the Yergin Task Force.

#### **ENERGY RESEARCH ANALYSES**

#### PROGRAM FUNDING PROFILE

#### (Dollars in thousands)

	FY 1995 Comparable Appropriation	FY 1996 Original Appropriation	FY 1996 Real & Comp Adjustments	FY 1996 Comparable Adjusted	FY 1997 Budget Request
Research	<b>\$3,330</b>	\$3,463		\$3,414	\$2,000
Subtotal, Energy Research Analyses	3,330	3,463	-49	3,414	2,000
Adjustment	-101 a/	-337_a/	0	a/	0
Total, Energy Research Analyses	\$3,229 b/	\$3,126	c/	\$3,077	\$2,000

#### Public Law Authorizations:

Public Law 95-91 "Department of Energy Organization Act" (1977)

a/ Share of Energy Supply, Research and Development general reduction for use of prior year balances assigned to this program.

The total general reduction is applied at the appropriation level.

b/ Excludes \$66,000 which has been transferred to the SBIR program and \$3,000 which has been transferred to the STTR program.

c/ Reprogramming to the Indian Energy Resources programs.

#### **ENERGY RESEARCH ANALYSES**

#### PROGRAM FUNDING BY SITE

#### (Dollars in thousands)

Field Offices/Sites	FY 1995 Comparable Appropriation	FY 1996 Original Appropriation	FY 1996 Real & Comp Adjustments	FY 1996 Comparable Appropriation	FY 1997 Budget Request
Chicago Operations Office				•	
Argonne National Laboratory	\$300	\$150	\$0	\$150	<b>\$</b> 0
Brookhaven National Laboratory	100	0	0	. 0	0
Oak Ridge Operations Office	•				
Oak Ridge National Laboratory	644	200	0	200	0
Richland Operations Office					
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory	465	250	0	250	0
All Other Sites a/	1,821	2,863	-49	2,814	2,000
Subtotal	3,330	3,463	-49	3,414	2,000
Adjustment	b/	-337 b/	0	b/	
TOTAL	\$3,229	\$3,126	c/	\$3,077	\$2,000

a/ Funding provided to laboratories, universities, industry, other Federal agencies and other miscellaneous contractors.

b/ Share of Energy Supply, Research and Development general reduction for use of prior year balances assigned to this program.

The total general reduction is applied at the appropriation level.

c/ Reprogramming to the Indian Energy Resources programs.

# ENERGY RESEARCH ANALYSES PROGRAM OBJECT CLASS SUMMARY (Dollars in thousands)

•		FY 1995		FY 1996		•
		Comparable	Non-Comp	Comparable	Non-Comp	FY 1997
	Direct Funding:					
	Personnel compensation:					
11.1	Full-time permanent	•				
11.3	Other than full-time permanent					
11.5	Other personnel compensation					
11.8	Special personal services payments	•				
11.9	Total personnel compensation	0	0	0	0	0
12.1	Civilian personnel benefits	•		•		
13.0	Benefits for former personnel					
21.0	Travel and transportation of persons					
22.0	Transportation of things		•			
23.1	Rental payments to GSA					
23.2	Rental payments to others					
23.3	Communications, utilities, and miscellaneous charges					
24.0	Printing and reproduction					
25.1	Advisory and assistance services	252	252	255	255	255
25.2	Other services	453	453	2,276	2,325	1,745
25.3	Purchases of goods and services			·	•	
	from Government accounts				•	
25.4	Operation and maintenance of facilities	1,514	1,514	600	600	
25.5	Research and development contracts	•••	•			
25.7	Operation and maintenance of equipment			•		
26.0	Supplies and materials					
31.0	Equipment					
32.0	Land and structures					
41.0	Grants, subsidies and contributions	1,177	1,177	0	0	
99.0	Subtotal, obligations	3,396	3,396	3,131	3,180	2,000
	Reimbursable Obligations	-	-	-	3,.33	_,,
99.9	Total Obligations	3,396	3,396	3,131	3,180	2,000
	Recovery of prior year obligations	-2	-2	0,.0.	0,.00	_,000
	Unobligated balance avail, start of year	-219	-219	-54	-54	
	Unobligated balance avail, end of year	. 54	- <u>-</u> 213	-	54	
	Budget Authority	\$3,229	\$3,229	\$3,077	\$3,126	\$2,000
	Parker variatifi				40,120	<u> </u>

#### **ENERGY RESEARCH ANALYSES**

(Tabular dollars in thousands. Narrative in whole dollars.)

# I. <u>Mission Supporting Goals and Objectives</u>

The Energy Research Analyses (ERA) program assesses research projects and programs in order to judge the significance of these efforts and to identify undesirable duplications and gaps. Peer reviews of individual research projects using outside experts are performed. Technical assessments to determine the direction of future research and state-of-the-science reviews are also performed. The program also provides analysis in support of long range energy research planning, science and technology planning, and technical evaluation of DOE programs and objectives.

# II. Funding Schedule

Program Activity	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	\$ Change	% Change
Energy Research Analyses  Total, Energy Research Analyses	\$ 3,330	\$ 3,414	\$ 2,000	\$ -1,414	<u>-41.4%</u>
	\$ 3,330	\$ 3,414	\$ 2,000	\$ -1,414	-41.4%

# III. Performance Summary

## FY 1995 Accomplishments:

- Determined the strengths and weaknesses of 300 projects in Energy Research, Fossil Energy, and Energy Efficiency by independent peer review, including quality of the science, relevance to DOE and national missions, and research management.
- Determined the need for new, specific research in the area of Advanced Heterogeneous Catalysts for Energy Applications.
- Provided the DOE support for management of the National Acid Precipitation Program Assessment (NAPAP) Program.

# III. Performance Summary: ENERGY RESEARCH ANALYSES (Cont'd)

- Developed the Strategic Plan for the Office of Energy Research. Provided science and technology sections of the National Energy Policy Plan (NEPP). Coordinated the formulation of ER technology status reports for the Yergin Task Force.
- Analyzed specific governmental and Departmental R&D issues using expert technical groups at the National Academy of Sciences, the Keystone Center, the JASON group, the Foreign Area Science Assessment Center (FASAC), and the Japan Technology Evaluation Center (JTEC).
- Funding in the amount of \$66,000 and \$3,000 has been transferred to the SBIR and STTR programs, respectively.

#### FY 1996 Accomplishments (to date and planned):

- Develop a simplified and improved DOE-wide technical review process, as promised by the Secretary of Energy to the Congress.

  Organize and manage a pilot program at three National Laboratories to evaluate the new review process.
- Evaluate the quality and relevance of approximately 275 projects in Energy Research, Fossil Energy, and Energy Efficiency by independent peer reviews.
- Assess additional technical needs in Energy Research, Fossil Energy, and Energy Efficiency (e.g., advanced composite materials).
- Develop a new and improved Laboratory appraisal process to evaluate the performance of ER Laboratories on an annual basis as part of the Department's new performance-based contracting system.
- Support external R&D review of critical issues, as requested by the Director, Office of Energy Research, through groups outside the Department of Energy, (e.g., the National Academy of Sciences, and the JASON group).
- Funding in the amount of \$69,000 and \$5,000 has been budgeted for the SBIR and STTR programs, respectively.

# III. Performance Summary: ENERGY RESEARCH ANALYSES (Cont'd)

# FY 1997 Planned Accomplishments:

- Update and improve the Energy Research Strategic Plan to establish a new vision and mission, and to get maximum research value from, the realigned Office of Energy Research.
- Continue to improve the quality and relevance of DOE research and development programs through peer reviews of approximately 200 projects in Energy Research, Fossil Energy, and Energy Efficiency.
- Evaluate critical planning and policy issues of DOE science and technology through reviews by expert groups at the National Academy of Sciences, the JASON group, etc., as appropriate.
- Funding in the amount of \$50,000 has been budgeted for the SBIR program.

# Explanation of Funding Changes FY 1996 to FY 1997:

The decrease reflects a reduction in the number of peer reviews conducted from 275 in FY 1996 to 200 in FY 1997 (\$-1,414,000).